

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FREDERICK SCHIFF,
Plaintiff,

v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
et al.,
Defendants.

No. C-03-4345 MMC
No. C-04-2261 MMC
No. C-04-2262 MMC

**ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFFS TO
LODGE CHAMBERS COPIES IN
COMPLIANCE WITH GENERAL
ORDER 45 AND THE COURT'S
STANDING ORDERS**

NARDA GILLESPIE et al.,
Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
et al.,
Defendants.

MARK OSUNA,
Plaintiff,

v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
et al.,
Defendants.

/

1 On December 16, 2005, plaintiffs electronically filed their joint opposition to
2 defendant Fred Lau's motion for summary adjudication, and, on December 23, 2005,
3 plaintiffs electronically filed their consolidated joint opposition to the City and County of San
4 Francisco's two motions for summary judgment. Plaintiffs have violated General Order 45
5 and the Court's standing orders, however, by failing to deliver to the Clerk's Office "no later
6 than noon on the business day following the day that the papers are filed electronically, one
7 paper copy of each document that is filed electronically . . . marked 'Chambers Copy' and
8 . . . clearly marked with the judge's name, case number, and 'Chambers Copy-Do Not
9 File.'" See General Order 45 § VII.G; see also Standing Orders For Civil Cases Assigned to
10 The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney ¶ 2.

11 The Court has previously ordered plaintiffs to provide chambers copies of other
12 documents. (See Order filed September 26, 2005.) The Court expects plaintiffs to comply
13 with the Court's orders without being repeatedly reminded to do so.

14 Plaintiffs are hereby ORDERED to comply with General Order 45 and the Court's
15 standing orders by immediately submitting a chambers copy of the above-referenced
16 documents. The parties are hereby advised that if either party fails in the future to comply
17 with the Court's order to provide chambers copies of electronically-filed documents, the
18 Court may impose sanctions on the noncomplying party, including, but not limited to,
19 striking from the record any electronically-filed document of which a chambers copy has not
20 been timely provided to the Court.

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22 Dated: December 29, 2005


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge